1 2	Joseph J. Tabacco, Jr. (75484) Christopher T. Heffelfinger (118058) BERMAN DeVALERIO PEASE	
3	TABACCO BURT & PUCILLO 425 California Street, Suite 2025	
4	San Francisco, California 94104-2205 Telephone: (415) 433-3200 Facsimile: (415) 433-6382	
5	Liaison Counsel for Lead Plaintiff	
6	Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds	5
7	Barbara J. Hart Mark S. Arisohn	
8	Anthony J. Harwood Michael W. Stocker (179083)	
9	Stefanie J. Sundel LABATON SUCHAROW LLP	
10	140 Broadway New York, New York 10005	
11	Telephone: (212) 907-0700 Facsimile: (212) 818-0477	
12 13	Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiff Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds	
14	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	OAKLAN	D DIVISION
17)
18	IN RE JDS UNIPHASE CORPORATION)) Master File No. C 02-1486 CW (EDL)
19	SECURITIES LITIGATION)) CLASS ACTION
20)
21 22	This Document Relates To: All Actions)) LEAD PLAINTIFF'S REVISED) VERDICT FORM
23)
24)
25		
26		
27		
28		
	LEAD PLAINTIFF'S REVISED VERDICT FORM	

I. SECTION 10(b) LIABILITY

Please answer the questions below for each statement on the list of challenged statements (Exhibit A) and indicate your unanimous answers on the verdict table (Exhibit B). If a box on the verdict table is shaded in or already filled in, that means that the question does not apply to the corresponding statement or that the parties have agreed to a given answer. Please skip any question that is shaded in or already answered. Please answer yes or no for any question that is not shaded.

A. Which of the individual defendants do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, was substantially involved in the preparation of the challenged statement?

B. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that this challenged statement contains an untrue statement of a material fact, or omits a material fact necessary under the circumstances to keep the statement that was made from being misleading?

If you answered "Yes," please proceed to question C. If you answered "No," please return to question A for the next statement.

C. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the statement was made with knowledge that it was false or misleading?

If you answered "Yes" please proceed to Question D. If you answered "No," please return to Question A for the next statement.

D. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the statement was made with a highly unreasonable disregard for whether it was false or misleading that (i) was an extreme departure from the standards of ordinary care and (ii) presented a danger of misleading investors

1	that was either known to the author or speaker or was so obvious that he must have been aware
2	of it?
3	If you answered "Yes," please proceed to question F. If you answered "No," please
4	return to question A for the next statement.
5	
6	E. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the forward-looking
7	statement was not accompanied by meaningful cautionary statements identifying factors that
8	could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statement?
9	
10	If you answered "Yes," please proceed to question F. If you answered "No," please
11	return to question A for the next statement.
12	
13	F. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that there is a substantial
14	likelihood that a reasonable investor would consider the statement important in deciding whether
15	to buy, sell or trade JDSU securities?
16	
17	If you answered "Yes" please proceed to Question G. If you answered "No," please
18	proceed to Question A for the next statement.
19	
20	G. Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the untrue statement of
21	material fact, or the omitted material fact, played a substantial part in causing a loss to plaintiffs
22	
23	If you answered "Yes," please proceed to question H. If you answered "No," please
24	return to question A for the next statement.
25	
26	H. Do you find that any of the following individuals directly or indirectly controlled
27	the person who made the statement above, directly or indirectly induced the person to make the
28	

Case4:02-cv-01486-CW	Document1658	Filed10/17/07	Page4 of 18
----------------------	--------------	---------------	-------------

1	statement, and did not act in good faith? If so, check "Yes" in the column below the individual's
2	name on Exhibit B.
3	
4	Please return to question A for the next statement. Once you have responded to all
5	questions for all 24 statements please proceed to Section II.
6	
7	II. <u>SECTION 14 LIABILITY</u>
8	
9	Dr. Jozef Straus
10	1. Did you find that Defendant Straus made statement number 10?
11	YES
12	NO
13	2. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendant Straus failed to act with
14	ordinary or reasonable care when he made statement number 10?
15	YES
16	NO
17	
18	Tony Muller
19	1. Did you find that Defendant Muller made statement number 10?
20	YES
21	NO
22	2. Do you find that, by a preponderance of the evidence, Defendant Muller failed to act with
23	ordinary or reasonable care when he made statement number 10?
24	YES
25	NO
26	
27	
28	

1	III. <u>SECTION 11 LIABILITY</u>
2	
3	A. Jozef Straus
4	1. Did you find that Defendant Straus made Statement 10? (See Exhibit B, column A)
5	
6	2. If yes, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendant Straus acted with
7	reasonable diligence?
8	YES
9	NO
10	
11	3. If yes, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Straus had
12	reasonable ground to believe, and did believe, that the statement was true and that there was no
13	omission making it materially misleading?
14	
15	If yes, please skip to B below. If no, please answer Question 4.
16	
17	4. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that defendant Straus had no
18	reasonable basis to believe, and did not believe, that the audited financial statement that Ernst &
19	Young prepared for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000 was untrue or omitted material
20	information necessary to make it true?
21	
22	If yes, please skip to B below. If no, please answer Question 5.
23	
24	5. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that some portion of the decline in the
25	value of JDSU's stock resulted from something other than statement 10 being false? If no, skip
26	to B below.
27	
28	6. If yes, what portion of the decline in the value of JDSU's stock resulted from statement

1	10 being false?%
2	
3	7. Did you find that Defendant Straus made Statement 16? (See Exhibit B, column A)
4	
5	8. If yes, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendant Straus acted with
6	reasonable diligence?
7	YES
8	NO
9	
10	9. If yes, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Straus had
11	reasonable ground to believe, and did believe, that the statement was true and that there was no
12	omission making it materially misleading?
13	
14	If yes, please skip to B below. If no, please answer Question 10.
15	
16	10. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that some portion of the decline in the
17	value of JDSU's stock resulted from something other than statement 16 being false? If no, skip
18	to B below.
19	
20	11. If yes, what portion of the decline in the value of JDSU's stock resulted from statemen
21	16 being false?%.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
I	[

Case4:02-cv-01486-CW Document1658 Filed10/17/07 Page6 of 18

1	B. Tony Muller
2	1. Did you find that Defendants Muller made Statement 10? (<i>See</i> Exhibit B, column A)
3	2.4 year 11.4 c. 1.4 year 11.4 year
4	2. If yes, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendant Muller acted with
5	reasonable diligence?
6	YES
7	NO
8	
9	3. If yes, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Muller had
10	reasonable ground to believe, and did believe, that the statement was true and that there was no
11	omission making it materially misleading?
12	
13	If yes, please skip to C below. If no, please answer Question 4.
14	
15	4. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that defendant Muller had no
16	reasonable basis to believe, and did not believe, that the audited financial statement that Ernst &
17	Young prepared for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000 was untrue or omitted material
18	information necessary to make it true? If yes, please skip to B below. If no, please answer
19	Question 5.
20	
21	5. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that some portion of the decline in the
22	value of JDSU's stock resulted from something other than statement 10 being false? If no, skip
23	to B below.
24	
25	6. If yes, what portion of the decline in the value of JDSU's stock resulted from statement
26	10 being false?%
27	
28	7. Did you find that Defendant Muller made Statement 16? (See Exhibit B, column A)

1	
2	8. If yes, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence, that Defendant Muller acted with
3	reasonable diligence?
4	YES
5	NO
6	
7	If yes, please skip to C below. If no, please answer Question 10.
8	
9	9. If yes, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant Muller had
10	reasonable ground to believe, and did believe, that the statement was true and that there was no
11	omission making it materially misleading?
12	
13	10. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that some portion of the decline in the
14	value of JDSU's stock resulted from something other than statement 16 being false? If no, skip
15	to C below.
16	
17	11. If yes, what portion of the decline in the value of JDSU's stock resulted from statement
18	16 being false?%.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1

1	C. JDSU
2	1. Did you find that JDSU made Statement 10? (See Exhibit B, column A)
3	
4	2. If yes, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence, that JDSU did not know and in
5	the exercise of reasonable care, could not have known, that statement 10 was false or omitted
6	information necessary to make it true?
7	YES
8	NO
9	
10	If yes, please skip to Section IV below. If no, please answer question number 3.
11	
12	3. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that some portion of the decline in the
13	value of JDSU's stock resulted from something other than statement 10 being false?
14	If "No," please skip to Question 5.
15	4. If yes, what portion of the decline in the value of JDSU's stock resulted from statement
16	10 being false?%
17	
18	5. Did you find that JDSU made Statement 16? (See Exhibit B, column A)
19	
20	6. If yes, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence, that JDSU did not know and in
21	the exercise of reasonable care, could not have known, that statement 10 was false or omitted
22	information necessary to make it true?
23	YES
24	NO
25	
26	If yes, please skip to Section IV below. If no, please answer question number 7.
27	
28	

1	7. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that some portion of the decline in the
2	value of JDSU's stock resulted from something other than statement 16 being false?
3	
4	If "No," please skip to Section IV below.
5	
6	8. If yes, what portion of the decline in the value of JDSU's stock resulted from statement
7	16 being false?%
8	
9	IV. <u>SECTION 12 LIABILITY</u>
10	
11	JDSU
12	1. Did you find that JDSU made statement 10? (See Exhibit A, column B)
13	
14	2. Did you find that the falsity of statement 10 was material? (See Exhibit A, Column C)
15	[If no, skip to Section V below. If yes, please answer Question 3]
16	
17	3. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that JDSU did not know, and in the
18	exercise of reasonable care could not have known, that statement 10 was false or omitted
19	information necessary to make it true? If yes, skip to Section V below. If no, please answer
20	Question 4.
21	
22	4. Do you find, by a preponderance of the evidence, that some portion of the decline in the
23	value of JDSU's stock resulted from something other than statement 10 being false?
24	
25	5. If yes, what portion of the decline in the value of JDSU's stock resulted from statement
26	10 being false?%
27	
28	

1	V. <u>SECTION 15 LIABILITY</u>
2	1. Identify from the list below any individual defendant that you unanimously find, at the
3	time of statement 10, (1) exerted direct or indirect power or influence over the person who
4	committed the violation at the time of violation, (2) was a culpable participant in making the
5	materially false or misleading statement.
6	Mr. Straus
7	Mr. Abbe
8	Mr. Muller
9	
10	
11	VI. <u>SECTION 20 LIABILITY</u>
12	1. Identify from the list below each defendant who sold JDSU stock with actual knowledge
13	of material non-public information:
14	Mr. Straus
15	Mr. Kalkhoven
16	Mr. Abbe
17	Mr. Muller
18	
19	2. If you answered yes, please fill in the inflation percentage for each date on which you
20	find the defendant made a sale in violation of 20A. If you find that the Defendant did not violate
21	20A on a particular date please insert a zero under inflation percentage.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1

Dr. Jozef Straus - Insider Selling Damages

Inflation
Percent
%
%
%
%
%
%
%
%
%
%
%
%
%
%
%
%

Kevin Kalkhoven – Insider Selling Damages

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Stock Sale Inflation Date Percent 5/22/00 % % 5/22/00 5/24/00 % 7/31/00 % 7/31/00 % 8/4/00 % 8/7/00 % 8/21/00 % 8/22/00 % 8/22/00 % 8/31/00 % 8/31/00 % 9/1/00 % 9/7/00 % 9/12/00 % 9/18/00 % 9/19/00 % 9/20/00 % 9/21/00 % 9/22/00 % 9/25/00 % 9/27/00 % 9/28/00 %

Case4:02-cv-01486-CW Document1658 Filed10/17/07 Page14 of 18

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
_,

28

10/5/00	%
10/10/00	%
10/11/00	%
10/13/00	%
10/16/00	%
10/25/00	%
10/27/00	%
11/1/00	%
1/18/01	%
3/22/01	%
4/11/01	%

<u>Tony Muller – Insider Selling Damages</u>

Stock Sale Date	Inflation
	Percent
5/22/00	%
5/30/00	%
7/31/00	%
8/1/00	%
8/2/00	%
8/4/00	%
8/4/00	%
8/4/00	%
8/4/00	%
8/7/00	%
8/7/00	%
8/8/00	%
8/11/00	%
8/11/00	%
8/14/00	%
8/14/00	%
8/16/00	%

<u>Charles Jay Abbe – Insider Selling Damages</u>

Stock Sale	Inflation Percent	
Date		
8/1/00	%	
8/11/00	%	
2/26/01	%	
2/27/01	%	
2/28/01	%	

VII. A. <u>Damages under Sections 10(b), 14a, & 20</u>

If you answered yes to any of the questions in column G, please fill in the percentage by which JDSU's stock was inflated on the dates below.

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Period	Begin Date	End Date	Percentage
			Inflation
1	4/26/00	7/25/00	%
2	7/26/00	7/26/00	%
3	7/27/00	8/31/00	%
4	9/1/00	10/24/00	%
5	10/25/00	10/25/00	%
6	10/26/00	1/25/01	%
7	1/26/01	1/28/01	%
8	1/29/01	2/15/01	%
9	2/16/01	3/28/01	0/0
10	3/29/01	4/19/01	%
11	4/20/01	4/22/01	%
12	4/23/01	4/23/01	%
13	4/24/01	4/24/01	%
14	4/25/01	6/14/01	%
15	6/15/01	6/17/01	%
16	6/18/01	7/26/01	%
17	7/27/01	8/31/01	%

B. PROPORTIONATE LIABILITY FOR 10(b)¹

For each defendant that violated Section 10(b), please fill in the percentage of responsibility in the table below. The total of all percentages must equal 100%.

A	В	C
Defendant	Did this Defendant violate Section 10(b)?	Percentage of Responsibility
Dr. Jozef Straus	Yes or No	%
Tony Muller	Yes or No	%
Jay Abbe	Yes or No	%
JDSU	Yes or No	%

¹ Lead Plaintiff proposes that the Court recess briefly to complete column B of the proportionate liability table based on the jury's answers in the table of Exhibit B for 10(b) liability before submitting the proportionate liability table to the jury.